IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN

WEDNESDAY, THE 15TH NOVEMBER 2006 / 24TH KARTHIKA 1928

RP.No. 992 of 2006(K)

AGAINST THE JUDGEMENT IN WPC.19850/2006
.....

PETITIONER/PETITIONER

A.K.MOHAMMED HAJI, ANJUKANDAN HOUSE,
CHERUKUNNU P.O., OTHUKKUNGAL,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.BINOY VASUDEVAN

RESPONDENTS: RESPONDENTS
---

1. THE REGIONAL TRANSPORT AUTHORITY,
MALAPPURAM REPRESENTED BY ITS SECRETARY.

2. THE SECRETARY, R.T.A., MALAPPURAM.

3. SRI.KARUMBIL KHALID,
S/O.KUNHAHAMMED HAJI, KARUMBIL HOUSE,
CHERUKUNNU, P.O.OTHUKKUNGAL, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY GOVT. PLEADER SMT.LAKSHMI RANI

THIS REVIEW PETITION HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 15/11/2006, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY PASSED THE FOLLOWING:

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN, J

R.P.No.992 OF 2006

Dated this the 15th day of November, 2006

O R D E R

The review petitioner had two fold contentions in the writ petition. He, an existing operator, challenged the variation granted. He also sought for a direction to consider his objections regarding timings. The judgment sought to be reviewed was issued dismissing the writ petition on the ground that he did not have the necessary locus to challenge Ext.P7, the order granting variation. However, the request for direction to consider his objections in the timing conference was not taken note of while delivering the judgment dated 1.8.2006, at the stage of admission.

2. In the aforesaid circumstance, the said judgment is reviewed sustaining the rejection of the challenge against Ext.P7, but directing that if the petitioner's objections to timings as regards the third respondent are still pending and if the third

respondent's timings following Ext.P7 have not yet been settled, the petitioner shall also be heard in relation to that.

The review petition is disposed of in the above terms.

Issue copy today itself.

THOTTATHIL B.RADHAKRISHNAN
Judge

kkb.